

## Index of Subjects

Index created by:  
Neil Savage, JD  
Legal Publications Editor/Indexer  
17812 28th Ave NE  
Seattle, WA 98155-4006  
206-367-9312  
neilasavage@yahoo.com

Accentuate the Positive, 5.6

Admissions in Deposition

Authoritative texts, 2.2(b)(8)(B), 3.3

Confirming the opinion, 2.2(b)(8)(D)-(E)

Generally, 1.4(a), 2.2(b)(8)

Helpful medical facts, 2.2(b)(8)(C), 3.3

Qualifications of doctor, 2.2(b)(8)(A)

Advancing Your Claims, 1.3(c), 5.13

Aggravation, 6.8(b)

Alternative Diagnoses or Causes, 6.8(c)

Alternative Hypothetical, 5.12(b)-(c), 6.8(d)

Anatomy, Knowledge of

Basic knowledge needed, 2.1(a)(2)(A)-(B)

Independent study, 2.1(a)(1)(A)-(E)

Friends, doctors as, 2.1(a)(1)(B)

Generally, 2.1(a), 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)

Joint movements, 2.1(a)(3)(B)

Jury and, 2.1(a)(2)(C)-(D)

Names of parts, 2.1(a)(3)(A)

Private lessons from anatomy teacher, 2.1(a)(1)(C)

Reference books, 2.1(a)(1)(A), 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)

Seminars, 2.1(a)(1)(E)

Technical language, 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)-(ii)

Terms *re* anatomical direction, 2.1(a)(3)(C)

Understanding the language of medicine, 2.1(a), 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)

University courses, 2.1(a)(1)(D)

Arguments

Advancing your claims, 1.3(c), 5.13

Direct and indirect attacks, 1.3(c)

Generally, 1.3(c), 1.4(d), 5.13

Closing Argument, *see* Closing Argument

Asbestos Expert, Trial Cross-Examination of, 7.3(b)

Attitude in Cross-Examination, 5.3-5.3(b)

Basis of Opinion, Attacking, 2.2(b)(7), 3.3, 5.3(b), 5.8(a)

Bias

- Medical experts, 1.2(b)
- Credibility, *see* Credibility
- Breaking Testimony Down, 5.4, 5.6(a), 5.7(b)
- Chronology, Importance of, 2.1(b)(3)(A)
- Circling the Wagons, 5.8(a)(1)
- Closing Argument (Summation)
  - Generally, 1.3(c), 7.6
  - Getting only what you need for, 1.4(d)
- Commentary, 1.3(b)
- Component Parts of Testimony, 5.4, 5.6(a)
- Conflicts in Prior History
  - Between doctors, 6.4(f), 6.5(f)
  - Between examination and claimed diagnosis or disability, 6.5(a)
  - Examinations, *see* Examination of Patient
  - Doctor history versus patient history, 6.4(d)
  - Doctor's own history, 6.4(g)
  - Inaccurate history, 6.4(h)
  - Lack of relevant history, 6.4(e)
  - Prior history, generally, 6.4(a), 6.4(c)
  - Prior inconsistent statements, 6.3(d)
  - Recorded history, importance of, 6.4(a)
  - Tying doctor to records, 6.4(b)
- Consultation Reports, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(vii)
- Controlling the Testimony, *see* Nonresponsive Answers; Phrasing of Questions
- Cooperation of Client, 6.5(d)
- Correspondence between Doctor and Lawyer, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(iv), 2.2(a)(2)(G)(v)
- Court Reporters, 2.2(a)(2)(D)
- Credibility (Bias, Prejudice, Interest, Motive)
  - Advertising practice, 2.2(b)(3)(E), 6.2(a)(2)
  - Experience as witness, *see* Doctor's Experience as Witness
  - Conflicts, *see* Conflicts in Prior History
  - Conviction for a crime, 6.3(b)
  - Memory, 6.3(c)
  - Prior inconsistent statements, 6.3(d)
  - Income from exams, 6.2(a)(2)
  - Payment for testimony, 6.3
  - Relationship with lawyer, 2.2(b)(3)(D), 2.2(b)(5)-(5)(D), 6.3-6.3(a)
- Curriculum Vitae, *see* Personal Information *re* Doctor
- Damages, 6.8(f)
- Daubert* Hearing
  - Basis of the opinion, 2.2(b)(7)
  - Cross-examination of plaintiff doctor at, 7.3(a)
- Defense Doctor
  - Insurance "coverage" case, cross-examination in, 7.4
  - Generally, 2.2(b)(3)(B)
  - Sample cross-examinations of, 7.1(a)-(c)

## Demonstrative Evidence, Charts, and Diagrams (Exhibits), 5.15

## Depositions

- Admissions, *see* Admissions in deposition
  - Advertising practice, 2.2(b)(3)(E), 6.2(a)(2)
  - Bank, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(ii)
  - Conduct appropriate to, 2.2(a)(2)(A)
  - Consultation reports, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(vii)
  - Contact with patient, 2.2(b)(4)
  - Correspondence between doctor and lawyer, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(iv), 2.2(a)(2)(G)(v)
  - Court reporters, 2.2(a)(2)(D)
  - Curriculum vitae, *see* Personal Information *re* Doctor
  - Doctor's file, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
  - Establishing authority during, 2.2(b)(6)
  - Experience as a witness, *see* Doctor's Experience as Witness
  - Fees, 2.2(a)(2)(C)
  - File, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
  - Generally, 2.2, 2.2(a)(1)
  - Goal of, 2.2(a)(1)
  - Handwritten notes, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(vi)
  - Laboratory data, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(ix)
  - Live, 2.2(a)(2)(E)
  - Methods of taking, 2.2(a)(2)(E)
  - Opinion letters to lawyers, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(v)
  - Personal history of doctor, *see* Personal Information *re* Doctor
  - Photographs, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii)
  - Preliminary deposition statements, 2.2(a)(2)(F)
  - Preparation, generally, 1.3(a), 2.1
  - Preserving good answers, 2.2(b)(8)
  - Prior depositions, 2.1(b)(1)(D)
  - Qualifications of doctor, 2.2(b)(1), 2.2(b)(2), 2.2(b)(8)(A)
  - Record of case, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
  - Relationship with lawyer, 2.2(b)(3)(D), 2.2(b)(5)-(5)(D), 6.3-6.3(a)
  - Reports, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii), 2.2(b)(3)(B)
  - Scheduling, 2.2(a)(2)(A)
  - Slides, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(x)
  - Standards governing conduct, 2.2(a)(2)(A)
  - Subpoena duces tecum, 2.2(a)(2)(B)
  - Telephone, 2.2(a)(2)(E)
  - Testing answers for removal
  - Tissue blocks, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(x)
  - Use of, to prepare cross-examination, 2.2(a)(1)
  - Video, 2.2(a)(2)(E)
  - Witness, doctor's experience as, *see* Doctor's Experience as Witness
  - X-rays, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii)
- Direct and Indirect Attacks, 1.3(c)
- Discovery

- Curriculum Vitae, *see* Personal Information *re* Doctor
- Depositions, *see* Depositions
- Doctor's file, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
- Generally, 1.3(a)
- Personal History of Doctor, *see* Personal Information *re* Doctor
- Preparation, generally, 1.3(a), 2.1
- Use of Discovery to Prepare Cross-Examination
- Discrediting Witness and Witness Testimony, 1.4(b)-(c)
- Doctor's Experience as Witness
  - Advertising practice, 2.2(b)(3)(E)
  - Generally, 2.2(b)(3)
  - Number of depositions and trials, 2.2(b)(3)(A)
  - Number of exams and reports, 2.2(b)(3)(B)
  - Professional witness, 6.2(a)
  - Rates for medicolegal examinations, 2.2(b)(3)(C)
  - Relationship with lawyer, 2.2(b)(3)(D), 2.2(b)(5)-(5)(D), 6.3-6.3(a)
- Doctor's File *re* Case
  - Completeness of, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(ii)
  - Consultation reports, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(vii)
  - Correspondence between doctor and lawyer, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(iv), 2.2(a)(2)(G)(v)
  - Generally, 2.2(a)(2)(G)
  - Handwritten notes, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(vi)
  - Laboratory data, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(ix)
  - Opinion letters to lawyers, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(v)
  - Photographs, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii)
  - Possession and review of, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(i)
  - Removed documents, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(iii)
  - Reports, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii)
  - Slides, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(x)
  - Tissue blocks, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(x)
  - X-rays, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii)
- Duration (Length)
- Examination of Patient
  - Client cooperation, 6.5(d)
  - Conflicts with other doctors, 6.4(f), 6.5(f)
  - Failure to observe, 6.5(c)
  - Positive and negative findings or tests, 6.5(a)
  - Subjective and objective findings or tests, 6.5(e)
  - Tests not performed, 6.5(b)
- Examining Doctor, Sample Cross-Examination of, 7.1(a)
- Exceptions to Rules, 1.3(b)
- Exhibits (Demonstrative Evidence, Charts, and Diagrams), 5.15
- Experts, *see* Medical Experts
- Facts Ignored in the Opinion, 5.9
- Failure to Observe
- Fairness Questions, 4.3(d), 4.4-4.4(b)

- File and Record of Case, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
- Findings, Positive and Negative, 6.5(a)
- Finishing Strong, 3.1
- Frontal Attack, Danger of, 5.3(b)
- Getting What You Need, 1.4(d)
- Goals of Cross-Examination
  - Admissions, generally, 1.4(a)
  - Discrediting Witness and Witness Testimony, 1.4(b)-(c)
  - Getting only what you need for closing argument, 1.4(d)
- Good-Medical-Practice Questions, 4.5
- Handwritten Notes, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(vi)
- History
  - Conflicts, *see* Conflicts in Prior History
  - Curriculum Vitae, *see* Personal Information *re* Doctor
  - File and record of case, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
  - Recorded history, importance of, 6.4(a)
  - Record of case, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
- Hypothetical Questions
  - Alternative hypothetical, 5.12(b)-(c), 6.8(d)
  - "Consistent with" language, 5.11(a), 5.12(c)
  - Generally, 5.12(a)(1)
- Ill-Chosen Words, Attacks on, 5.10
- "Importance" Questions, 4.7
- Income from Exams, 6.2(a)(2)
- Insurance "Coverage" Case, Cross-Examination in, 7.4
- Journal Articles, 2.1(c)(1)(A)(ii)
- Jury
  - Basic anatomy knowledge and, 2.1(a)(2)(C)-(D)
  - Simplifying for, 5.5
- Laboratory Data, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(ix)
- Language
  - Sources, *see* Sources of Medical Information
  - Anatomy, *see* Anatomy, Knowledge of
- Leading Questions, 4.1, 4.3
- Length (Duration) of Cross-Examination, 3.4
- Leveling the Playing Field, Factors for, 1.3(a)
- Malpractice Cases, 1.1(b)
- Medical Experts
  - Asbestos expert
  - Bias, 1.2(b)
  - Confronting, 1.2(d)
  - Discrediting, 1.4(b)
  - Doctor not as qualified as, 6.1(d)
  - Leveling the playing field, factors for, 1.3(a)
  - Loyalty to patient, 1.2(b)
  - Knowledge and experience, effect of, 1.2(a)

- American Medical Association ethics, 1.2(b)
- Number of, 1.2(d)
- Problems with, 1.2(a)-(d)
- Status of, 1.2(c)-(d)
- Types of testifying doctors, 1.2(b)
- Medical Facts
  - Generally, 6.6
  - Placing in perspective, 5.7(a)
- Medical Language
  - Sources, *see* Sources of Medical Information
  - Anatomy, *see* Anatomy, Knowledge of
- Medical Records
  - Doctor's File, *see* Doctor's File
  - Generally, 2.1(b)(3)(A)
  - Record of case, *see* Doctor's File *re* Case
- Medical Testimony
  - Generally, 1.1(a)-(b)
  - Malpractice cases, 1.1(b)
  - Tort cases, 1.1(b)
- Medical Texts
  - Admissions and, 2.2(b)(8)(B), 3.3
  - Authoritative, 6.7(a)
  - Doctor's own writings, 2.1(b)(2)(A)-(C), 2.2(b)(2)(G), 5.14, 6.7(b)
  - Generally, 2.1(c)(1)(A), 3.3, 5.14, 6.7(a)
  - Helpful medical facts, 2.2(b)(8)(C), 3.3
- Motion to Strike, 4.3(a)(1)
- Motive, *see* Credibility
- Narrowing the Question, 4.3(b)
- Nonresponsive Answers, Controlling
  - Demand a responsive answer, 4.3(a)(2)
  - Generally, 4.3
  - Making the "deal," 4.3(d)
  - Motion to strike, 4.3(a)(1)
  - Narrowing the question, 4.3(b)
  - Pursuing the question to get an answer, 4.3(c)
  - Repeating the question, 4.3(a)(3)
  - Rephrasing the question, 4.3(c)
  - "Why" questions, 4.3(b)
- No Questions
  - Declining to cross-examine as no harm to case, 5.2(a)
  - Qualified doctor, 6.1(a)
  - Vital information missing, 5.2(b)
- Objective versus Subjective Findings or Tests, 6.5(e)
- Opinion, Attacks on
  - Aggravation, 6.8(b)
  - Alternative diagnoses or causes, 6.8(c)

- Alternative hypothetical, 5.12(b)-(c), 6.8(d)
- Basis of the opinion, 2.2(b)(7), 3.3, 5.3(b), 5.8(a)
- Breaking down testimony, 5.4, 5.6(a), 5.7(b)
- Changes in medical science, 5.11(b)
- Circling the wagons, 5.8(a)(1)
- Component parts of testimony, 5.4, 5.6(a)
- “Consistent with” language, 5.11(a), 5.12(c)
- Context of opinion, 5.11-5.11(b)
- Controlling the testimony, *see* Nonresponsive Answers; Phrasing of Questions
- Credibility, *see* Credibility
- Damages, 6.8(f)
- Direct and indirect attacks, 1.3(c)
- Discrediting Witness and Witness Testimony, 1.4(b)-(c)
- Facts ignored in the opinion, 5.9
- Frontal attack, danger of, 5.3(b)
- Generally, 3.3
- Hypothetical opinion, *see* Hypothetical Questions
- Ill-chosen words, 5.10
- Information to attack credibility and decrease weight, 5.1(c)
- No foundation for opinion, 5.1(b)
- Payment for testimony, 6.3
- Permanency, 6.8(e)
- Phrasing, *see* Phrasing of Questions to Control Testimony
- “Probable” means “possible” medical certainty, 6.8(a)
- Putting opinions in perspective, 5.11-5.11(b)
- Opinion of Doctor
  - Attacks on, *see* Opinion, Attacks on
  - Basis of the opinion, 2.2(b)(7), 3.3, 5.3(b), 5.8(a)
  - Confirming the opinion at deposition, 2.2(b)(8)(D)-(E)
  - Generally, 2.2(b)(7)
  - In other cases, 2.1(c)
  - Opinion letters, 2.1(b)(3)(B), 2.1(b)(3)(B)(ii)
  - Opinion letters to lawyers, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(v)
  - Opinions, generally, 2.1(b)
  - Technical language, 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)-(ii)
- Permanency, 6.8(e)
- Personal Information *re* Doctor
  - Advertising practice, 2.2(b)(3)(E), 6.2(a)(2)
  - Board certification, 2.2(b)(2)(C), 6.1(e)-(f)
  - Chronology, importance of, 2.1(b)(3)(A)
  - Conviction for a crime, 6.3(b)
  - Deposition bank, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(ii)
  - Directory of board-certified medical specialists, 2.1(b)(1)(C)
  - Discipline by a hospital, 6.1(h)
  - Conflicts in doctor’s own history
  - Experience as a witness, *see* Doctor’s Experience as Witness

- Fellow doctors, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(v)
- File and record of case, *see* Doctor's File
- Generally, 2.1(b), 2.2(b)(2)
- Hospital staffs, 2.2(b)(2)(E), 6.1(g)
- Income from exams, 6.2(a)(2)
- Internet sources, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(iii)
- Local lawyer information, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(iv)
- Medical board inquiry, 2.1(b)(1)(B)
- Medical records, 2.1(b)(3)(A)
- National practitioner databank, 2.1(b)(1)(B)
- Newspaper articles, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(iii)
- Office records, 2.1(b)(3)(A)
- Opinions, *see* Opinion, Attacks on; Opinion of Doctor
- Practice profile (nature of practice), 2.2(b)(2)(H)
- Prior activities, 2.1(b)(1)(D), 2.1(b)(1)(D)(iii)
- Prior charges, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(vi)
- Prior depositions, 2.1(b)(1)(D)
- Prior injuries, diseases, and other medical facts, 2.1(b)(3)(A)
- Professional societies and organizations, 2.2(b)(2)(D)
- Publications and writings, 2.1(b)(2)(A)-(C), 2.2(b)(2)(G), 5.14, 6.7(b)
- Qualifications, *see* Qualifications of Doctor
- Relationship with lawyer, 2.2(b)(3)(D), 2.2(b)(5)-(5)(D), 6.3-6.3(a)
- Retired, 6.1(i)
- Specialty training, 2.2(b)(2)(B), 6.1(b)
- Standard witness investigation, 2.1(b)(1)(D)(vi)
- Teaching, 2.2(b)(2)(F)
- Telephone books, 2.1(b)(1)(A)
- Training, 2.2(b)(2)(A)-(b), 6.1(b)
- Tying doctor to records
- Witness, experience as, *see* Doctor's Experience as Witness
- Writings and publications, 2.1(b)(2)(A)-(C), 2.2(b)(2)(G), 5.14, 6.7(b)
- Photographs, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii)
- Phrasing of Questions to Control Testimony
  - Component parts of testimony, 5.4, 5.6(a)
  - "Consistent with" language, 5.11(a), 5.12(c)
  - "Fairness" questions, 4.3(d), 4.4-4.4(b)
  - "Good medical practice" questions, 4.5
  - "Have you ever been wrong" question, 5.11(a)
  - Hypothetical questions, *see* Hypothetical Questions
  - "Importance" questions, 4.7
  - Leading questions, 4.1, 4.3
  - Making the "deal," 4.3(d)
  - Motion to strike, 4.3(a)(1)
  - Narrowing the question, 4.3(b)
  - Nonresponsive answers, *see* Nonresponsive Answers
  - "Probable" means "possible" medical certainty, 6.8(a)

- Pursuing the question to get an answer, 4.3(c)
- Repeating discovery deposition questions in cross-examination, 4.8
- Repeating direct examination questions in cross-examination, 4.2
- Repeating the question, 4.3(a)(3)
- Rephrasing the question, 4.3(c)
- “Significance” questions, 4.6
- Techniques of cross-examination, generally, 1.3(b)
- “Why” questions, 4.3(b)
- Plaintiff’s Doctor
  - Asbestos expert, trial cross-examination of, 7.3(b)
  - Daubert* hearing, cross-examination at, 7.3(a)
  - Sample cross-examinations of treating doctor, 7.2(a)-(b)
- Positive and Negative Findings and Tests, 6.5(a)
- “Possible” Medical Certainty, 6.8(a)
- Prejudice, *see* Credibility
- Preparation, Generally, 1.3(a), 2.1
- Preserving Good Answers, 2.2(b)(8)
- Prior History, *see* Conflicts in Prior History
- Prior Inconsistent Statements, 6.3(d)
- Prior Injuries, Diseases, and Other Medical Facts, 2.1(b)(3)(A)
- “Probable” Means “Possible” Medical Certainty, 6.8(a)
- Proximate Cause, 7.5
- Qualifications of Doctor
  - See also* Personal Information *re* Doctor
  - Board certification, 2.2(b)(2)(C), 6.1(e)-(f)
  - Case-specific, 6.1(c)
  - Depositions, 2.2(b)(1), 2.2(b)(2), 2.2(b)(8)(A)
  - Discipline by a hospital, 6.1(h)
  - Experience as a witness, *see* Doctor’s Experience as Witness
  - Hospital staffs, 2.2(b)(2)(E), 6.1(g)
  - No questions if qualified, 6.1(a)
  - Not as qualified as your expert, 6.1(d)
  - Retired, 6.1(i)
  - Specialty training, 2.2(b)(2)(B), 6.1(b)
  - Training, 2.2(b)(2)(A)-(b), 6.1(b)
  - Voir dire at trial, 5.1(a)
- Questions, Phrasing of, *see* Phrasing of Questions to Control Testimony
- Record of Case, *see* Doctor’s File *re* Case
- Repeating the Question
  - Deposition questions repeated in cross-examination, 4.8
  - Direct examination questions repeated in cross-examination, 4.2
  - Nonresponsive answers and, 4.3(a)(3)
- Rephrasing the Question, 4.3(c)
- Reports
  - Consultation reports, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(vii)
  - Generally, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii), 2.2(b)(3)(B)

Sequence of Cross-Examination

- Finishing strong, 3.1
- Generally, 3.3
- Not following sequence of direct examination, 3.2
- Journals, 3.3
- Starting strong, 3.1
- Texts, 3.3

“Significance” Questions, 4.6

Simplifying for the Jury, 5.4

Slides, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(x)

Sources of Medical Information

- Anatomy, *see* Anatomy, Knowledge of
- Journal articles, 2.1(c)(1)(A)(ii)
- Medical texts, 2.1(c)(1)(A)
- Reference books, 2.1(a)(1)(A), 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)
- Technical language, 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)-(ii)

Starting Strong, 3.1

Subjective versus Objective Findings or Tests, 6.5(e)

Subpoena Duces Tecum, 2.2(a)(2)(B)

Technical Language, 2.1(b)(3)(B)(i)-(ii)

Techniques of Cross-Examination, Generally, 1.3(b)

Tests, Positive and Negative Findings, 6.5(a)

Tests Not Performed, 6.5(b)

Tissue Blocks, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(x)

Tort Cases, 1.1(b)

Treating Doctor

- Generally, 2.2(b)(3)(B), 6.2(a)(1)
- Sample cross-examinations of, 7.2(a)-(b)

Types of Testifying Doctors, 1.2(b)

Voir Dire of Doctor at Trial

- Generally, 5.1
- Information to attack credibility and decrease weight, 5.1(c)
- No foundation for opinion, 5.1(b)
- Qualifications, lack of, 5.1(a)

“Why” Questions, 4.3(b)

Witness, Doctor’s Experience As, *see* Doctor’s Experience as Witness

Writings and Publications, Doctor’s Own, 2.1(b)(2)(A)-(C), 2.2(b)(2)(G), 5.14, 6.7(b)

X-rays, 2.2(a)(2)(G)(viii)