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- Introduction of Case
Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.
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What was the Supreme Court’s holding?

- How did the majority apply the principle of extraterritoriality to the ATS?
- What is the status of corporate liability post-Kiobel?

What types of ATS cases, if any, survive Kiobel?

- Are any types of ATS suits now categorically barred?
- What kind of U.S. connection is sufficient to support an ATS claim?
- How might lower courts interpret “touch and concern”?